

**BOARD OF ALDERMEN  
REGULAR MEETING  
DECEMBER 4, 2006**

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Milford held their Regular Meeting on Monday, December 4, 2006 in the aldermanic chambers of City Hall. Chairperson T. Beirne called the meeting to order at 7:38 p.m. asking everyone present to stand and join in the Pledge of Allegiance.

1. Roll Call

Board Members Present

P. Beckwith  
T. Beirne  
B. Bier  
B. Blake  
V. Ditchkus, Jr.  
J. Garbus  
B. Genovese  
M. Hardiman  
B. Lambert  
J. Patterson  
J. Politi  
K. Rowland  
J. Toohey  
N. Veccharelli  
P. Vetro

Also Present

Mayor J. Richetelli, Jr.  
J. Doneiko, Finance Director  
A. Jepson, City Clerk  
M. Lipton, Esq. City Attorney  
J. O'Connell, Mayor's Admin Asst.

2. Public Statements

Public statements are limited to the legislative function of the Board of Aldermen. The time limit granted to each speaker shall be three minutes. Residents, taxpayers or electors may address the Board. Chairperson Beirne also reminded the public the Board does not respond to questions.

G. Stanford – 32 Spice Bush Lane – stated at the November meeting parents appeared before this Board regarding re-districting and that Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus advised those parents to go back to the Board of Education. She stated that is not how the game is played. She spoke of the process and how the City is told to hold the line and that it goes from the Board of Education to the Finance Board where it is cut and then to the Board of Aldermen where it is cut further. She referred to a Board of Education article and read from it. He spoke of the Resolution on the agenda and commented a Resolution is a formal expression of opinion and that it can be 20 years or more before a Resolution becomes a bill and finally a law. She asked why this did not come up 13 months ago and recalled how the former Board of Education member who sits on this Board stated “I know how we can cut \$1,000,000”. She also spoke of how the kids “pay to play” and that they also pay for classes, etc. She read from a prepared statement speaking of the elevator at Law, the cafeteria at Law and how the kids eat in the hallways, overcrowding at Mathewson School. She stated if funding had been set aside long ago we would not be in this predicament. She stated she is proud of the education in Milford and that the staff

is excelled from the custodians, teachers, secretaries and principals. She asked why the school system takes the hit every year. She gave the schools a grade of “A” and the facilities a “D”.

S. St Pierre – 38 Spring Street – stated she is the president of SEPTA – she spoke of the need for public disclosure and asked why we are paying legal fees for lawyers where children have not be placed accordingly. She stated there is no fiscal accountability and demanded the Board of Education open their books. She also stated there needed to be a full accounting of the law firm of Berchem, Moses and Devlin, the Board’s long term no bid lawyers. She asked where the money is going. She spoke of accountability and the change in attitude and that a change in law firms is necessary.

T. Ivers – 224 Broadway – stated he is Chairman of the Milford Clean Energy Task Force. He spoke of reusable energy certificates. He stated the task force has been meeting for close to 2 years. He stated they are recommending that their charter and the direction of the task force be opened so they may look at ways of saving. He cited examples of heating various buildings in the City and detailed the increases since 2003-2004. He stated the numbers are extraordinary and that planning is necessary and investments made in capital improvements that will help gain control over energy in the future. He stated they are also looking for a way to clean up the environment and that Milford is 87% on the way. He explained the project and that it went out to bid and would cost \$40,000 but is paid entirely by the Clean Energy Fund. He also expressed his appreciation of the recommendations this evening. He stated the task force is a tremendous resource made up of architects, teachers, environmentalists, etc.

M. Selvaggi – 574 Milford Point Road – commented he has been lied to and so has this Board. He stated 13% of our education is special needs and that these kids need related services. He spoke of the PPT that determines what is needed and stated he comes before this board to obtain those funds. He stated those services are not being provided and these kids cannot afford that. He asked what the Board would call it fraud, criminal activity and stated it needs to be stopped.

J. Prisco – 11 Riverdale Road – stated the senior citizens need help and asked about a tax freeze or other options to name a few. He implored the Board to help the seniors stating things are tough. He stated he recently attended the Eisenhower Park Committee meeting and that he questioned paying to get into the park and that he was told that Milford residents would get in free with a taxpayer sticker. He spoke of the phase-ins some of which he agrees with. He also spoke regarding sewer fees and reiterated senior citizens need a break. He spoke of the schools and stated his grandchildren when to school in Milford and that he has a great-grandchild starting school in Milford. He also spoke regarding the ball fields and spoke of the Johnson Controls System and asked if it was saving money and that he never saw a report. He also asked how the Board of Education spends their money and that they don’t tell anybody anything.

R. Chapman – 44 Riverdale Road – commented on some items this evening regarding energy in 1973 and that they talked about the system being changed and stated it will never happen. He stated our representatives allowed these companies to make a profit. He also spoke with regard to the mill rate and expressed his hope the Mayor does not stick to 20 mills and asked the Mayor to get it to 17 and work the budget figures from there. He urged the Board to think about it. He referred to Adolph Hitler and spoke 3 words “Is Anyone Listening?”

3. Consideration of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Aldermen held on November 13, 2006.

Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve the minutes of the November 13, 2006 meeting as presented.

Ald. Politi noted the following corrections:

Page 8, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence should read “City spending \$30,000,000 over the next 3 years.  
Page 12, under sub-section “i”, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, last sentence should read “City of Stamford”.

Motion carried with the corrections noted.

4. Consideration of the Minutes of the Board of Aldermen Special Meeting.

None.

5. Chairman’s Report and Communications.

Chairman Beirne reported he received correspondence from Mr. Thomas from the Assessor’s Office regarding reval and that \$2,094 property owners made appointment to review their property. He stated the final date to make appointments was December 1, 2006. He also reported regarding the Board of Education funding and noted since 2002 the budget has grown 32% and EC has grown 4%. He also reported sales tax contributions – State in 2005 was \$27,547,000 and 2006 special education cost \$15,622,588.

6. Mayor’s Report and Recommendations (see Items 8a-8e).

Mayor Richetelli stated he would respectfully ask the Board to consider Items 8a-8f as listed and that he would address any questions as they came up. He also remarked regarding a comment made during Public Comment asking if this Board knew that our children were eating outside the cafeteria. He replied the answer is yes this Board did know. He stated a ribbon cutting ceremony was held this week and that Law now has a new cafeteria as well as an elevator.

7. Unfinished Business:

None.

8. New Business (From Mayor’s Report Items 8a-8e)

8a. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve the request for Resolution RE: Establishment of dedicated Library Passport Services Enterprise Fund and Cash Advance to Fund same.

Mayor Richetelli noted members of the Library Board were present, Linda Creedon and Linda Wanosky as well as Library Director Jean Tsang. He stated he wished to thank them as well as Attorney Lipton and Finance Director J. Doneiko for all their hard work. He stated they have been working on this for over a year and that this does not tax the citizens of Milford. He stated it is innovative and reiterated his thanks.

Ald. Rowland commented it was fantastic and that it would generate revenues and thanked the Library Board for their work.

Ald. Politi voiced her support for this initiative. She stated she serves as liaison as well as Ald. Hardiman and gave kudos to all and commended their hard work.

Ald. Hardiman stated this is great for Milford.

Ald. Genovese applauded both women. She stated as a member of that Board many years ago she is pleased and expressed her thanks.

Ald. Ditchkus added it is a great idea and asked how long it would take for them to get up and running.

Ms. Credon replied it would be about 203 weeks once the papers were signed and forwarded to Norwalk. She stated there is an on-line training program and that they could do the training at the library or at home with help from the Passport Services if needed. She stated that as of January 23, 2007 you would be required to have a valid passport to travel outside the country.

Ald. Ditchkus stated it was his understanding that in 2008 you would need to have a passport for domestic travel as well.

Ms. Creedon stated she did not have the exact dates with her.

Ald. Blake remarked the goal was department heads put together their budgets. He stated it would be a good idea if other departments follow the lead of the library and find ways to generate revenue.

Mayor Richetelli stated that is a challenge he puts out to all department heads each year but that he wished to be clear the monies raised are not intended to take the place of funds that you would normally budget for the library. He stated these would be funds in addition to what is already appropriated to the Library Board.

Mr. R. Chapman stated he wished to be acknowledged. Ald. Genovese acknowledged him as a constituent. Mr. Chapman asked if this was similar to what the Recreation Department did and the amount he was talking about was \$230,000 but it is not show in the budget.

Mayor Richetelli explained it is an enterprise fund similar to the Golf Course Commission or the Harbor Commission. He stated it can only be used by the Library board.

Mr. Chapman asked if these would be listed.

Chairman Berine responded the City Attorney has stated no.

Mayor Richetelli stated it is special revenue that by Resolution you are giving the Library Board authorization as to how the money is spent.

Ald. Politi suggested a change in the title and stated the Resolution uses the word "enterprise" and asked if that is confusing.

Ald. Ditchkus and Ald. Vetro moved to amend the title “special revenue”. Motion carried unanimously.

On the main motion, the motion carried unanimously.

8b. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve the request for Resolution RE: Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement between the City and Nextel Communications for Relocation of certain Law Enforcement Equipment to replacement frequencies. Motion carried unanimously.

8c. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve the request for Resolution RE: Investment of Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) Appropriation Funds. Motion carried unanimously.

8d. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve the request to accept the Recommendations of the Clean Energy Task Force dated November 2006 RE: Board of Aldermen Pledge of 20% Clean Energy by 2010” as follows: (1) Considering the purchase of Renewable Energy Certificates (REC) equivalent to 7% of the City’s annual kilowatt hour consumption for FY 2007-2008, with a gradual increase to 13% by FY 2010-2011 subject to the annual budgetary review and process: and (2) That the scope of the Clean Energy Task force as approved in January, 2005 be broadened to include conducting a citywide study and producing an action plan on ways to improve local energy management systems and investments in long and short term energy efficiency.

Ald. Patterson stated he received just today the CCM Newsletter and read an article where a number of cities are getting rebates because of overcharging of streetlights.

Mayor Richetelli stated he did not see the article. He explained the City enters into an agreement with UI and that he was not sure what these rebates were for.

Ald. Patterson read a portion of the article.

Mayor Richetelli noted there are two carriers, UI and CL&P.

Ald. Ditchkus stated he read the article and the rebates were for customers in the CL&P area.

Ald. Veccharelli asked about the Clean Energy Task Force and the proposed citywide study and asked if that would cost the city money.

Mayor Richetelli responded there was no authorization for them to spend any money at this time and if they were to do so they would have to come before this Board.

Motion carried unanimously.

8e. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve the request for Resolution RE: Contract Authorization for Third Party Plan Review and/or Inspections.

Ald. Rowland asked if this was strictly for the Huffman Koos project or open to the public.

Mayor Richetelli explained the Resolution was written so if it could apply to anyone that wished to pay for a third part review. He reminded the Board they approved a similar Resolution for the Westfield expansion project when it began.

Ald. Rowland asked if this is temporary and how it would work.

Mayor Richetelli explained the building official has the responsibility to sign off. He also noted the T. Raucci, Chief Building Official was present and could answer questions. He stated the third party review is through the City and they pay the bill.

Mr. Raucci stated this was crafted to help him with the larger projects that take a lot of time away from the department as a whole. He added this would still bring the clients into town with a reasonable turnaround time and not hinder their application.

Ald. Blake asked how it would affect the homeowner who may wish to build a deck, which to the homeowner is a priority.

Mr. Raucci replied at this time it would be on a case-to-case basis and reserved for the larger projects.

Ald. Blake asked who determines which projects or work is fast tracked.

Mr. Raucci stated he was not sure at this time and that it would be probationary.

Ald. Blake stated the way he reads this Resolution anyone could chose to do it this way.

Mr. Raucci stated he had not read the full Resolution.

Ald. Ditchkus asked if it was true the department is short two inspectors at this time, which put them behind. He stated by doing this it will alleviate some of the burden for the larger plans reviews in the office.

Mr. Raucci stated it may appear broad but it was meant for the larger projects coming in.

Ald. Ditchkus commented it would not be cost effective for a homeowner to do this. He asked if all reviews needed to be done within a 30-day period.

Mr. Raucci replied a part of the Code states a directive of 30 days but it is not a mandate.

Ald. Bier expressed his concern of the language and that they may not be able to deny that and add something.

Mayor Richetelli stated he would not object but the Board would have to set standards. He stated it was his belief this was reserved for large commercial projects. He stated someone asked for some flexibility and that language was then reviewed.

Ald. Bier asked about a dollar amount or a square footage amount.

Mayor Richetelli clarified it was not up to the applicant to decide and that it was up to the building inspector. He stated the applicant does not hire the third party inspector.

Ald. Veccharelli stated the Resolution is vague and that he has a lot of concerns. He stated he believed the idea is a good idea and recognized you can get behind when someone is out long term. He suggested if they fall behind longer than 30 days than this would go into affect. He stated he did not want to see our citizens have to pay.

Mr. Raucci spoke of the hiring process and explained that is handled by the Personnel Department and the approved candidates that pass the testing then come before him for an interview.

Ald. Veccharelli asked if someone is currently out long term.

Mr. Raucci responded that individual returned to work today on a limited capacity and that he did not know how long that would be.

Ald. Veccharelli spoke of manpower clauses within the Police Department, Fire Department and Public Works. He stated without manpower he looks at this as trouble. He stated he would like this Resolution if someone is out over 30 days.

Mr. Raucci stated there is not an automatic approval and reiterated that 30 days is not a mandate but a directive. He stated he liked the concept of what Ald. Veccharelli was thinking however when the mall project started they were considered at full staff and were still inundated.

Mayor Richetelli explained these situations are happening because of the large-scale projects taking place right now. He stated they will not last forever, so when they come up we will come before this Board. He stated it is not arbitrary but there are cases when it is needed such as these large scale projects. He also stated it is not uncommon for towns to go to a third party review and that it was important they not take the risk of these large scale projects who will become taxpayers going elsewhere. He stated they were attempting to put safeguards in place and that they were asking the permission of this Board.

Ald. Genovese asked if this would alleviate some of the projects in the realm of the Permanent Schools so they can stay on a timely fashion and get things to Hartford.

Mr. Raucci replied it would help with the workload overall in the office.

Ald. Genovese commented she felt comfortable knowing the Building Official would have a say.

Mr. Raucci stated the Codes and Statutes are clear and that the Building Official has the final say. He stated they are not hiring a company as the mall did during their expansion. He also reminded the Board when the mall did that they were still accountable to the City.

Ald. Lambert asked if the Resolution was put in place because the office is short handed or if this is just because of the number of larger projects they still need someone.

Mr. Raucci responded yes.

Mayor Richetelli stated the City Attorney would offer new language adding in the 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph, 1<sup>st</sup> sentence adding the language “large scale commercial” and the last sentence of the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph adding the language “for such projects”.

Ald. Ditchkus stated he wished to make that in the form of a motion. Ald. Toohey seconded the motion to amend as stated above.

Ald. Patterson stated he wished to have the language added “at the discretion of the building inspector”.

Ald. Ditchkus stated he believed it was clear it is large-scale projects and they will pay for it.

Ald. Hardiman asked if this would include the schools.

Mayor Richetelli stated the City does not pay building permits for school projects.

Ald. Hardiman asked then why all the delays if it doesn’t cost anything.

Mayor Richetelli replied the projects still have to go through the Building Department.

Ald. Beckwith commented the review at the state level is the most time consuming. He stated our Building Department is so busy so whatever we can do to get the inspection process moving for the local people is just cause to have these projects move forwards.

Ald. Blake remarked at a recent Milford Academy Building Committee meeting the mayor discussed how a third party may review that project and there was a timeframe that needed to be met. He asked if they exclude school projects some of the projects might be at jeopardy.

Mr. Raucci explained the School Facilities Unit must review and that they can accept that review. He stated it was his understanding the State will not accept a third party review.

Ald. Blake stated at the Milford Academy Building Committee meeting a third party review was alluded to.

Ald. Beckwith commented they did not look at a third party review but they were attempting to get the bonding in place but the plans are already in Hartford.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he looked at this amendment that has been made and that he does not see where they have singled out anyone. He stated it does not say we can or can’t do schools. He stated it simply states because of large-scale development we need this in place. He expressed concern if the State does not allow third party review then why do these and also expressed his concerns for the possibility of lawsuits. He stated it also give the Mayor exclusive right and asked if that means the Mayor now has some say as to what can go forward.

Mayor Richetelli stated it is not up to the Mayor. He also to the issue of liability and stated all third party inspectors have to be licensed by the State of Connecticut. Building Official I, II, they are licensed by the State of Connecticut. He stated with regard to the schools they have a separate unit that does the review before State grant money can be used.



Mr. Raucci added they were created for reimbursement purposes and that there is an option to use them or go through the City. He stated FSU is very detailed and in-depth.

Ald. Ditchkus asked if the Finance Director could give an explanation.

Ms. Doneiko stated in third party contractors they are licensed by the State and also in their contract there is liability insurance and the City Attorney would approve any indemnification.

Ald. Genovese asked why the language “the Mayor be authorized upon”?

Attorney Lipton stated that language was always there and that it is good practice to have one person to sign all contracts.

Ald. Ditchkus stated he would withdraw his motion for the amendment and make one amendment as before.

Ald. Toohey withdrew her second.

Ald. Ditchkus and Ald. Toohey moved to amend the Resolution (attached).

Ald. Blake stated the language still seems very vague and that the City would be exposed to situations that are arbitrary and capricious.

The Board recessed at 9:16 p.m.

Chairman Beirne reconvened the Board at 9:26 p.m.

Ald. Blake stated he recognized this is an issue with too main objectives and they that need some relief. He stated the Board is in favor of but believed the language could be sured up a little. He also noted the Building Inspector did not look at this. He stated it might be appropriate to put a conditional 2 month/60 days on it and that he would be willing to put that in the form of a motion. He stated it might be worthwhile to put this 60 days on it with the intent the City Attorney re-draft a better will thought out Resolution.

Ald. Blake and Ald. Lambert moved to approve a conditional approval of this Resolution with sunset in 60 days.

Mayor Richetelli stated he would ask the City Attorney about the language. He also noted present tonight was the attorney for Milford Marketplace, Kevin Crusadean.

Mayor Richetelli asked Ald. Blake what liability he was referring to.

Ald. Blake stated the language discretion of the building official and case-by-case and size of the project is all too vague. He also stated they only had tonight to review this. He noted they could approve it and then have two months to tighten it up.

Mayor Richetelli spoke those plan reviews that go out and then not done they are without authorization.

Ald. Ditchkus stated he did not think they should have any sunset on this at all. He also noted there had been changes tonight, but because they had been asked for. He stated the Board has had this since Wednesday of last week, which was ample, time to review and that he could not see putting restraints on this. He stated they couldn't put price tag or square footage on this either. He stated he would like to see this move forward tonight so Milford Marketplace can continue to move forward as well. He stated it would not only be up to the building inspector is someone is willing to pay and it could be costly. He stated mainly large-scale projects such as Milford Marketplace would use this and that a deck is not a large-scale project. He also commented this would free up the inspectors to get to other projects.

Mayor Richetelli reminded the Board they took the identical action with Westfield Mall and it worked very well where they went out to bid and the project worked just fine. He stated the same spirit is here.

Attorney Lipton added this is a Resolution and not an Ordinance and in a motion or two you could propose a new Resolution. She stated this enables this project and others to go forward.

Ald. Blake stated he would withdraw his motion and Ald. Lambert withdrew her second.

The amended motion carried unanimously.

8f. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve Consideration of the request for Resolution RE: Urging State of Connecticut to undertake tax and revenue restructuring to provide the municipal taxpayer relief (Requested by Ald. J. Politi).

Ald. Politi stated she was bringing this forward for two reasons; one being the timing and explained leaders across the way expressed a need for this and that it is a priority in Milford as well. She stated she wrote letters to our delegates and contacted them and let them know we want tax restructure and relief. She stated anyone who knows her well knows for the 8 years she was with the BOE she has written many letters. She stated she does not want to pit the BOE against the BOA. She stated the second reason was to remind us to be diligent and to think about the budget, which is only a few months away. She reiterated the need to be diligent and encouraged the PTA's and the BOE to bring this up.

Mayor Richetelli stated he gives this his full support and enthusiasm to this Resolution before the Board and thanked Ald. Politi. He stated this should be bi-partisan and non-partisan. He stated each year he holds a meeting with our delegates and tax reform and property tax reform is always at the top of the list. He also noted that CCM and our local COG every year puts together property tax reform and tax reform and educational reform and that they need to be one voice. He stated he has urged our Governor and local delegates to come up with a workable way to fund education and relive this. He stated he has put together an Education Funding Committee with Chairman Beirne, Ald. Politi and Ald. Blake and that they will follow this Resolution through.

Ald. Rowland thanked Ald. Politi and stated she was glad this Board was making education a priority and urged the need to support this.

Ald. Ditchkus stated he was in full support of this and that he has heard many times that this Board does not fund enough and we have not given increases. He stated the Board of Education budget has increased from 45% to 60%. He spoke of ECS funding which has not increased and noted they have lost out over \$1,000,000. He expressed his hope the ECS will revamp and we will get our proportional share and this evens out.

Ald. Lambert thanked Ald. Politi and also noted our representative and Speaker of the House Jim Amann has gone all out for special education. She cautioned against pitting special education against our regular bodied students if he had pushed for special education support we would get our fair share. She stated the needs to work together and with are legislatures.

Ald. Genovese commented she has been invited to the Speaker of House's Office and he asked some of her concerns. She stated she is very concerned with education and special education and the mandates by Federal legislatures being passed down and that he would look into this. She expressed her hope that with the help of Jim Amann this Resolution would move forward and get something done for our entire State.

Ald. Politi explained the timeframe for how long it takes for the Resolution to pass through and expressed the importance of getting this done in a bipartisan way.

Motion carried unanimously.

9. New Business not on the Agenda which may be introduced by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting.

None.

10. Budget Memo Transfers

- a. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve Consideration of Budget Memo Transfer #5, Fund 10, FY 2006-2007.

Ald. Blake asked about office supplies, building grounds and furniture and the need for a typewriter.

Mayor Richetelli replied the typewriter is for Planning and Zoning. He stated in their budget they put a computer and they were going to buy a keyboard, instead they find it more pressing to buy a typewriter and our taking the money from the keyboard. He explained the office furniture is for the Recreation Department and it is instead of office supplies.

Motion carried unanimously.

- b. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve Consideration of Budget Memo Transfer #6, Fund 10, FY 2006-2007. Motion carried unanimously.

11. Refunds:

- a. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve Consideration of Refunds in the amount of \$5,662.05. Motion carried unanimously.
- b. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve Consideration of Suspense List in the amount of \$276,641.37.

Ald. Blake commented some of the names listed are properties that were foreclosed or went into bankruptcy

Motion carried unanimously.

12. Report of Standing Committees:

- a. Ordinance Committee – no report.
- b. Public Safety and Welfare Committee – Ald. Garbus reported he still has not spoken with Chief LaVecchia and that he is attempting to move forward on this and complete the project.

Ald. Lambert noted this Committee has only met twice and stated she felt they should be meeting on a monthly basis and urged the Mayor to suggest they meet each month. She also noted Ald. Garbus had been trying diligently.

- c. Public Works Committee – no report.
- d. Claims Committee – no report.
- e. Rules Committee – no report.
- f. Personnel Committee – no report.

13. Report of Special Committees:

- a. Liaison Sub-Committee – Board of Education – no report.
- b. Liaison Sub-Committee – Flood & Erosion Board – no report.
- c. Liaison Sub-Committee – Park, Beach & Recreation Comm. – no report.
- d. Liaison Sub-Committee – Planning & Zoning Board – no report.
- e. Liaison Sub-Committee – no report.
- f. Liaison Sub-Committee – Harbor Management Commission – no report.
- g. Liaison – Council on Aging – no report.
- h. Permanent School Facility Building Committee – Ald. Genovese reported the work on the new cafeteria at Law has been completed and that it look great and encouraged all Board members to see it. She also stated the new elevator is running.
- i. Liaison Sub-Committee – Library Board – no report.
- j. Liaison Sub-Committee – Fowler Memorial building – no report.
- k. Liaison Sub-Committee – Housing Authority – Ald. Lambert reported they are coming along and Foran Towers is moving along. She stated a question was raised as to accountability of maintenance people.
- l. Golf Course Commission – no report.
- m. Inland Wetlands Agency – no report.
- n. Milford Academy Community Campus Bldg. Committee – Ald. Beckwith reported they met on November 28, 2006 and that they are making progress and continues to work with the city. He also reported the plans have gone out for Alternative Education. He also reported they are trying to move quickly with Stern Hall.

- o. Courthouse Expansion Committee – no report.
- p. Liaison Health Department –

14. Executive Session - A two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting is required for any item to be considered in Executive Session. A two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting is required to go into Executive Session.

Ald. Vetro and Ald. Ditchkus moved to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board adjourned at 9:59 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen K. Huber  
Board Secretary