

**INLAND WETLANDS AGENCY  
SPECIAL MEETING  
DECEMBER 18, 2010**

The Inland Wetlands Agency held a Special Meeting and site walk on Saturday, December 18, 2010, at Grove Street, Milford, CT.

MEMBERS PRESENT

P. Fulco, Chairman  
A. Cegan  
C. Collins  
J. Connors  
K. Cowden  
J. Higgins  
L. McNamee  
S. Munson

ALSO PRESENT

Ald. F. Goodrich (9:12 a.m.)  
R. Macaluso, Westcott and Mapes  
R. Paier, Westcott and Mapes

Absent  
E. Karlsen  
J. Levitz

Chairman Fulco called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. regarding Application 010-65 and explained the purpose of the meeting to review the location of the proposed improvements in relation to the wetlands and watercourses. He noted there were no members of the public present. He asked the group to stay together. He stated only questions regarding the orientation of the location would be taken and any other questions would be addressed at the agency's regular meeting.

Mr. Connors and Mr. Cegan made and seconded a motion for the Inland Wetlands Agency to act as a committee as a whole and for the meeting to adjourn after the last question is answered. Motion carried unanimously.

It was 16°, a sunny, clear day with no wind. Mrs. Palumbo pointed out the pink/blue flags were tidal wetlands and not regulated by the Inland Wetlands Agency, but the water is the Oyster River, which is regulated by Inland Wetlands.

Mr. Macaluso oriented the site to the map. He explained from the guardrail to the edge of house #18, where there is some encroachment, is the orientation. He deferred to Mr. Paier.

Mr. Paier explained the area is a straight in and out for Wastewater and would create little disturbances. He noted there were a few trees that would need to be removed and pointed those out. He went on to explain the 6' concrete structure that would house the pumps. He also explained the proposal and where the street pilings would be located.

Mr. Macaluso pointed out the area of construction and the trees that would remain.

Mr. Cegan questioned the removal of the trees and the also the type of structure.

Mrs. Palumbo stated questions could only be asked as to the orientation. She stated any other questions would be addressed at the next regular meeting.

Mr. Paier stated the building would be 11' x 14' and would basically resemble a large shed.

Mr. Macaluso added there would be some type of plantings as well as a 6' fence around the perimeter and other vegetations around the area as well.

Mrs. Palumbo pointed out high tide was at 8:29 a.m. and that the current level of the water was about as high as it generally gets.

Mr. Macaluso proceeded to point out the other proposals that were considered noting there would have been more disturbances and the difficulty of wastewater vehicles accessing the property. He pointed out they looked at going straight back, but that it would have meant taking down more trees.

Mrs. Palumbo noted the culvert outlet.

Chairman Fulco asked if there were any other questions.

Mr. Connors asked the level of the highest tide.

Mrs. Palumbo pointed to the tree line where she has seen it at its highest.

Chairman Fulco asked if the fill was calculated.

Mrs. Palumbo pointed out the plans where the fill was shown.

Ms. McNamee questioned the other locations considered.

Mrs. Palumbo responded Rosemary Court was looked at as well as Pen Road (a paper street), which is also located on the beach.

Ms. McNamee suggested they look at the other two areas.

The members of the Inland Wetlands Agency walked over to Anderson Avenue (in front of (#1622) where Mr. Macaluso explained the area had been considered as an alternative easement. The members then walked across the street to Rosemary Court where Mr. Paier explained the other two proposals.

There being no further questions, the meeting adjourned at 9:24 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen K. Huber  
Acting Board Secretary