

**BOARD OF ALDERMEN
REGULAR MEETING
AUGUST 7, 2006**

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Milford held their Regular Meeting on Monday, August 7, 2006 in the aldermanic chambers of City Hall. Chairperson T. Beirne called the meeting to order at 7:39 p.m. asking everyone present to stand and join in the Pledge of Allegiance.

1. Roll Call

Board Members Present

T. Beirne
B. Bier
B. Blake
V. Ditchkus, Jr.
W. Farley
J. Garbus
B. Genovese
M. Hardiman
B. Lambert
J. Patterson
J. Politi
K. Rowland
J. Toohey
N. Veccharelli
P. Vetro

Also Present

J. O'Connell, Mayor's Admin. Asst.
A. Jepson, City Clerk
J. Doneiko, Finance Director
M. Lipton, Esq., City Attorney

Excused

Mayor J. Richetelli, Jr. (vac.)

Chairman Beirne on behalf of the Board of Aldermen extended deepest sympathy to Ald. Vetro for the recent loss of his mother.

Ald. Farly stated he wished to thank the members of the Board of Aldermen and the public for the cards and their prayers and expressed his gratitude.

2. Public Statements

Public statements are limited to the legislative function of the Board of Aldermen. The time limit granted to each speaker shall be three minutes. Residents, taxpayers or electors may address the Board. Chairperson Beirne also reminded the public the Board does not respond to questions.

K. Orrecchio – 165 N. Broad Street – stated she was speaking regarding a tree that was in Woodmont by the parking lot near the beach and stated it is gone and that she didn't know when it was removed and there are no plans for another tree. She stated the area has been paved over with parking spaces. She commented it is disturbing the City is not required to conform to their own regulations. She asked about the parking lot behind Fowler Field, which is being repaved, and that she had not seen any plans. She stated it was time to start

thinking about global warming especially after the weather this past week. She expressed her hope the City would consider their own regulations in the future. She also mentioned the soccer fields.

A. Charland – 42 Raycroft Street – stated he was speaking regarding item 8c under New Business regarding the donation of \$2,000 from the Milford Kiwanis to the library. He commented we are known as a “Small City with a Big Heart” and asked why we have to accept donations from Kiwanis or any other group to keep the library open. He stated the monies should go towards books, videos, etc.

M. Gallulo – 21 Deerfield Avenue – stated he was speaking regarding the Capital Plan and questioned keeping Eisenhower Park in the plan. He stated figures are not known no plan has come out. He asked what department the Ranger position would come out. He also asked about equipment, etc. He also spoke regarding the proposed rehabilitation of the dam and commented it was his understanding the U.I. was working on that. He asked what could be expected regarding the outlay of capital funds. He asked the Board not to rush into this.

S. Glennon – 99 Carlson Drive – reading from a prepared stated spoke of the preservation of peace, safety for the City and its Chairman recently. She spoke of the faceless people who were putting up signs in the middle of the night and that Mr. Beckwith with the esteemed Mayor and Board of Education Chair called for a buyout. She spoke of the intent of comments being loud and clear as well as Freedom of Speech and that comments should be based on facts and those who hide behind anonymity. She stated she has been involved in the school system for over 12 years. She state recent comments that have been made are untrue. She spoke of test scores and that they are up. She spoke of elected officials and their duty to be informed and uphold the rules and regulations.

J. Fowler – 50 Pine Knobb Terrace – commented people involved in public office are wise to have thick skin. He stated when a family member is attacked he speaks out. He spoke regarding his son and speaking in rebuttal of comments made. He referred to an article in the newspaper 10 days ago entitled “Firm Counterattacks”. He stated that letter implied his son got this job through “string-pulling”. He stated his son got his job on his own accord and that he applied for a job that was posted. He went on to read a list of his son’s accomplishments and that his son is the kind of kid the Superintendent should be praising. He spoke of an incident about a year ago when an adult attacked a student and a few months later the Superintendent of Schools writes a glowing letter for that adult. Mr. Fowler stated his son is a great man and bigger than the small man that belittled him.

L. Wiliamson – Wheelers Farm Road – spoke of the legislative functions of the Board of Aldermen. She stated she is has been an active parent in the school system for 5 years and as a professional for over 20 years, 11 of those years in the Milford School System. She spoke of involvement and understanding of the system. She stated she waited for weeks after the final vote of the Board of Education and sent an e-mail to the Board of Education with a copy to this Board and thanked those from the BOA that responded. She stated the Board of Aldermen became involved when the Chairman spoke at a Board of Education meeting and became too involved. She stated the job of the Board of Aldermen is to police yourselves and maintain your legislative function. She suggested perhaps there needed to be a change and commented it is a sad ending to what has happened regardless of what the outcome.

J. Prisco – 11 Riverdale Road – stated he wished to speak regarding two topics, tax relief for senior citizens and the sewer fee. He asked the Board to consider a tax relief for senior citizens, stating he is 83 years old. He stated he was not thinking of himself but all senior citizens in this town. He asked the Board not to be afraid

and to do something. He also asked about the spraying for mosquitoes and when that started and why they were notified and who was paying for it.

S. Borer – 204 Anderson Avenue – spoke regarding the Superintendent of Schools stating Milford is a small town and that he is kicking way too much and it was time to pay him off and let him go. He also spoke regarding item 8c on the agenda stating it is a bit much. He stated they should send a kid to camp with that money and that it was sad we had to take money from Kiwanis to keep the library open. He also spoke regarding the Capital Plan and the Rosemary Court project for \$1,275,000. He noted the footnote on the project and that it did not seem to be definite. He stated the residents had a meeting that was by invitation only. He spoke regarding the Eisenhower Park plan and stated he did not have a problem with the language but didn't understand why it was there. He stated he would rather see it removed and wait for the plan.

R. Mosadanti (sp) – 18 Intervale Parkway – referred to a March 2006 article and spoke of what a Capital Improvement Plan is and read from OSET. He asked the Board to consider that when they review this.

K. Rose – Naugatuck Avenue – stated last month she was opposed to the Capital Improvement Plan since there is no plan. She implored the Board to vote no and take Eisenhower Park out of the plan. She stated a figure has not been attached and that there isn't one. She suggested they take it out and put it in next year.

3. Consideration of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Aldermen held on July 6, 2006.

Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve the minutes of the July 6, 2006 meeting as presented.

Ald. Veccharelli commented it was present at the July 6, 2006.

Motion carried unanimously with the correction noted.

4. Consideration of the Minutes of the Board of Aldermen Special Meeting.

None.

5. Chairman's Report and Communications.

Chairman Beirne stated he e-mailed the Board a report from M. Woods, Chairman of the Permanent Schools regarding the situation at Foran concerning lead dust as well as a report from Silver Petrucelli. He asked the Board to re-order the agenda and bring this forward.

Ald. Genovese commented she and Ald. Hardiman have been working with the Permanent Schools and Bill Silver regarding this situation. She stated it is important to do what is best and to find out where the lead is coming from. She stated they have discussed this matter with the Speaker of the House, J. Amann and they were going to look into the cost any possibility of getting any reimbursement.

Ald. Veccharelli and Ald. Toohey moved to re-order the agenda and bring forward item 13h. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman M. Woods explained the BOA assigned a project to the Permanent Schools Committee that involved the installation of sprinklers to the schools and that the project was awarded to Bismark. He gave a lengthy explanation of what the project. He explained the amounts of lead dust found above the ceiling tiles throughout the school and the problem with lead is if it gets into your body this is no safe level. He explained the first thought was to leave it however because of the required maintenance it would be disturbed. He stated that they are not able to go forward with the project at this time and explained how they reduced the scope of the project with Bismark. He stated they have been trying to figure out where it came from before cleaning it up. He explained it appeared it got into the air intake system. He also mentioned the State Department of Education does not consider lead removal reimbursable. He stated they considered the removal of the lead dust and asbestos at the same time and that they would be able to receive reimbursement for the asbestos removal. He explained the charge from this Board is to renovate the science labs and explained how that would be accomplished which included the installation of a separate air handling system. He spoke of the level they need to get the lead below and the reason for him being present tonight was to get the authority to study this and come up with solutions. He stated his Committee needs direction from the Board as well as funding. He reiterated their charge was to install sprinklers into Foran High School and that one way or another they would have to address this problem

Ald. Veccharelli asked if he was talking the entire school and also asked how much the paint attributed to this.

Mr. Woods replied they really did not know. He also commented there are a lot of areas where lead dust showed up and there were no openings.

Ald. Veccharelli asked how many total ounces they were talking.

Mr. Wood stated they estimated 50 ounces.

Ald. Veccharelli asked if it was reasonable to say that a lot of this is from the lead paint source and if it was safe to say the plenums also have some contamination.

Mr. Woods stated the thought was to clean the ducts.

Ald. Veccharelli asked if this is due to the system in that school and how it is cooled.

Mr. Woods replied they weren't sure, but it wasn't at J. Law.

Ald. Veccharelli asked if they checked other schools.

Mr. Woods replied only with regard to the kilns.

Ald. Veccharelli asked if they would be replacing all the ceiling tiles and or replacing air lines.

Mr. Woods stated they were headed towards replacing all the ceiling tiles but that they were talking 200,000 sq. ft of ceiling tiles.

Ald. Veccharelli asked about vapor barriers or something that would contain this.

Mr. Woods stated whatever they do they would render the area un-usable. He explained in detail how the cleaning would take place. He stated this included putting sprinklers in and doing whatever they needed to do while above the ceiling.

Ald. Veccharelli stated that rather than remove the lead paint he would like to see it encapsulated and then move forward with the project.

Ald. Ditchkus commented they were talking about 3 possible sources; 2 interior and 1 exterior and asked if they would hire experts to do the lead removal.

Mr. Woods stated they were looking for someone to tell them what level to achieve.

Ald. Ditchkus stated they are not experts and that it should be left to those knowledgeable to abate it or whatever is necessary.

Ald. Farley thanked Ald. Veccharelli for his questions that were very accurate and good. He state the problem is to find out where it is coming from.

Mr. Woods stated they think they know where some of it is coming from.

Ald. Farley commented he did not think it was necessary to replace the grid.

Ald. Blake stated it sounded like a full throttle abatement and also noted there are children in some areas of the school and that he felt the student do have as much risk. He stated they should investigate the whole school and not just that section of the school spoke of earlier.

Mr. Woods responded the threat is really minimal.

Ald. Politi asked why it is up the local authority and direction of the Health Director to make that decision. She asked why the State does not have a standard.

Mr. Woods stated they defer to the local health director and that Dr. McBride's standard is low and no one could criticize him.

Ald. Politi commented the level they were trying to attain was below 40.

Ald. Farley asked if there is a special formula Dr. McBride uses.

Mr. Woods responded the standard was set.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he was trying to understand where it came from. He asked if there was still an automotive shop there where there may have been paints, etc. He stated he also believed they used to repair air conditioners there and if there could be suspect chemicals. He also reiterated his earlier comment that he hoped they could encapsulate the contamination through the duct and asked if they could put some type of filtratin system that could trap these contaminants.

Mr. Woods remarked the lead dust is so tiny to have a filter so small you would end up blocking up the air. He stated it is really a tough problem.

Ald. Farley commented he was unaware they had an automotive shop there. He asked if those services were checked. He also spoke of the heating in the gymnasium and the need to trace it back to the source through the arts and gymnasium, etc.

Mr. Woods stated they would study that.

Ald. Bier asked about the sprinkler or firewalls.

Mr. Woods stated it was a fire code.

Ald. Bier asked the timetable

Mr. Woods stated sprinklers are the superior solution.

Chairman Beirne read the letter dated August 3, 2006 from Silver Petrucelli regarding cost estimates and that the final total was estimated to be around \$270,000 to \$359,000. He asked if this would be done next summer.

Mr. Woods stated it would have to be done in the summer and that this is what they are asking the BOA to consider.

Chairman Beirne asked if this is what they were asking for tonight.

Ald. Genovese stated they could put a motion on with a cap and that she wished to put this in the form of a motion. Ald. Hardiman seconded it.

Ald. Blake raised a point of order stating this should be done under New Business.

Chairman Beirne stated under Roberts Rules of Order a member of the Study Committee can put up a motion.

Ald. Ditchkus raised a point of order.

Ms. Doneiko interjected stating there is no source of funding currently. She explained to bond this you would have to go through the Board of Finance and Board of Aldermen as well as posting it in the newspaper. She stated it could also be funded through an allocation transfer, but they needed to have an authorized source.

Ald. Farley suggested they schedule it for next month's agenda.

Ald. Genovese stated she wished to withdraw her motion and Ald. Hardiman withdrew his second.

Chairman Beirne reported Mr. Funaro had resigned from the Permanent School Building Committee.

6. Mayor's Report and Recommendations (see Items 8a-8c).

Chairman Beirne made the Board aware for the second year in a row, the City of Milford had been awarded the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting from the Government Finance Officer's Association (GFOA) and read the letter.

Chairman Beirne also made the Board aware of the following Mayor's appointments:

Milford Academy Community Campus Building Committee:
(R) Ald. Thomas J. Beirne, Jr., 55 Bayshore Drive
(D) Board of Education Member David Hourigan, 45 Carmen Road North

Ald. Toohey noted a point of clarification commented the Chairman of the Board also sits in on as liaison on this Committee that there may be a conflict of interest.

Chairman Beirne stated he did not understand the point being made.

Ald. Toohey referenced Roberts Rules of Order and stated there could be a potential conflict of interest and asked if the City Attorney could look into this.

7. Unfinished Business:

None.

8a. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve the request (per Planning and Zoning recommendation dated June 8, 2005) to grant the request of Mr. and Mrs. Dennis Warren of 60 James Street, to purchase City owned property adjacent to their property at 60 James Street also known as Assessor's Map 27, Block 456, Parcel 19 (approximately 52.5' x 102.1') with the following conditions and stipulations: 1) the City will maintain a 25 foot easement for drainage per the memo from the Public Works Director dated March 1, 2006; 2) nothing shall be constructed within the easement; 3) the lot can not be used or sold as a separate building lot nor combined with any other property to create a new building lot; 4) that the purchaser be required to provide any necessary land surveying services required in relation to the property transaction; and 5 that the City Attorney be authorized to negotiate on behalf of the city for the sale of this property at a starting price of not less than the appraised value as set forth on the Assessor's Records with appropriate adjustments made for the above described conditions, stipulations, and easement (adjacent property owners notified).

Mr. O'Connell noted in the Aldermen's packets there was a letter from the City attorney however the map does differ. He noted the drawing is incorrect and should be Block 456.

Ald. Ditchkus stated it is Block 456.

Ald. Farley suggested they table the matter and come back with a correct map.

Ald. Lambert asked what was sent to the abutting owners.

Ald. Farley referred to the letter from the late John Casey.

Ald. Lambert stated she was concerned with the legality of sending the correct letter.

Ald. Ditchkus and Ald. Lambert moved to table the matter until they have clarification. Motion carried unanimously.

8b. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve the request for the City of Milford Capital Improvement Plan for FY 2006 through FY 2011 as revised on July 24, 2006.

Chairman Beirne noted Eisenhower Park and Rosemary Court were included although with no dollars because it was a part of the original Capital Improvement Plan.

Mr. O'Connell confirmed the Mayor had removed the dollars.

Ald. Toohey expressed her concern there are no dollars included and that they were moving to approve this plan and 6 months down the road they will have to add monies. She stated they have not receive any of the plan and they will not receive it until it goes before the Park, Recreation and Beach, Planning and Zoning and finally this Board. With regard to Rosemary Court she stated it was her understanding it was going to be pulled and that it would not be a part of the Capital Improvement Plan.

Mr. O'Connell stated he believed that is true.

Ald. Genovese asked if he was stipulating that Rosemary Court would not be touched and that it has been pulled. She stated she wanted clarification it has been pulled and nothing will be put on that beach. She stated she also wanted documentation for this Board that every Board that has to give approval for Eisenhower Park that this Board will receive that confirmation and that she would hold the City liable without that confirmation.

Chairman Beirne read a letter dated August 2, 2006 and spoke concerning CGS §8-24 and the City of Milford's Capital Improvement Plan.

Ald. Rowland noted the funding had been withdrawn and asked if there is a reason the whole plan could not be withdrawn.

Mr. O'Connell responded that is a question for the Mayor.

Chairman Beirne explained the Capital Improvement Plan is a means for the Mayor to come before this Board to identify areas that need work. With regard to Eisenhower Park he stated it is strictly regarding the environmental concerns. He stated the dollar amount is an idea and not an estimate and that there is the possibility they will have to embark on this in the future. He stated there is no funding or bonding now.

Ald. Patterson noted the amount is \$49,000,000 over the next 5 years and asked if they would retire enough debt to offset this.

Ms. Doneiko explained the bonding would not be over the next 4 years but the next 8 to 10 or 12 years. She stated they do not bond until they know the money has been spent.

Ald. Farley spoke regarding the controversy on Rosemary Court. He stated he worked on that 25 years ago so he is familiar with the sewers adding this is a tough project with low lying area. He stated there could be a pumping station to accommodate Rosemary Court and that it is his understanding this is in limbo. With regard to Eisenhower Park he stated nothing would be done until they have a report. He stated Ms. Genovese said it eloquently and commented if something different is done all hell will break loose.

Ald. Veccharelli spoke of the Capital Improvement Plan for those who are new. He stated it is a goal or idea of what we will go forward with and that 70% of the work does not move forward. He stated with regard to Eisenhower Park there are many things he is not in favor of but that it is a park for the entire City. He spoke of the many things and fit and do not fit. He also spoke regarding Rosemary Court and eventually the septic on that side of town the systems will fail. He stated the misconception is that there is a budget and there is not.

Ald. Rowland commented if 70% of the items don't go forward why does this item have to be on it. She stated this Board is the Board that is looked to for the message they send and that they needed to keep in mind this sends the wrong message. She stated Eisenhower Park should not be in the Capital Improvement Plan until they see the plans for the park.

Ald. Toohey asked if there is anything that states they cannot pull this from the Capital Improvement Plan.

Ms. Doneiko stated she could not answer that.

Ald. Toohey and Ald. Rowland moved to table this matter until they have an answer.

Ald. Veccharelli stated last month they went through this and the Mayor pulled the dollar amount. He stated to pull nothing would set a precedent. He stated they should accept it as it is or vote it down.

Ald. Toohey asked if this Board votes it down does it go through the process again.

Chairman Beirne stated any revision to current plan there would be another revision.

Ald. Bier stated he was concerned with Eisenhower Park and the amount in the Capital Improvement Plan and that they asked the Mayor to revise it and it was done. He stated it was his belief the only thing they were looking at with regard to Eisenhower Park were the environmental issues and that the idea is to clean up this park. He stated he sees people wearing tee shirts that say "Save Eisenhower Park" and that is what they are trying to do.

Ald. Toohey stated she wished to withdraw her motion in light of what has been said. She stated they wanted the dollars out and it was done.

Ald. Blake requested a 5-min. recess at 9:30 p.m. Chairman Beirne reconvened the Board at 9:42 p.m.

Chairman Beirne reiterated that Ald. Toohey had withdrawn her motion.

Ald. Toohey stated that after discussion and looking over the plan she believed they should move forward. She stated there are other items such as other Board of Education projects, Fire Department and Police Department

projects and that they will receive every action item for approval. She reiterated she wished to withdraw her motion and apologized.

The Board recessed at 9:44 p.m. Chairman Beirne reconvened the Board at 9:46 p.m.

Chairman Beirne asked if there was further discussion.

Ald. Blake raised a point of order stating the needed to address the motion on the table.

Ald. Rowland stated she appreciated the efforts and assurances from Ald. Genovese and Ald. Toohey and stated they were putting the horse before the cart. She stated with all due respect that she could not withdraw her second.

Chairman Beirne asked if she wished to make another motion.

Ald. Veccharelli raised a point of order stating they were under discussion. He stated the motion could only be withdrawn if both parties agreed to withdraw.

Chairman Beirne stated they would proceed with the motion to table.

Ald. Veccharelli stated the reason to table this was made for good reason. He stated they keep hearing about Eisenhower Park and some people are for part of it or not. He stated he would like to see this plan and that they don't need this Capital Improvement Plan to do anything with it. He stated this plan is a wish list and that it has come before them and they have had a chance to look at the plan and make some type of decision and then work it into the Capital Improvement Plan. He reiterated they have a wish list before them with no dollar amount and this Board has never seen the Eisenhower Park plan. He stated your side made the motion to table and our side seconded it and that he was in favor of moving forward with that motion.

Ald. Ditchkus commented the Eisenhower Park Study Committee had not even completed their work and that they continue to make changes. He asked how many people had seen the Foran and Law projects and that they were making decisions on that without any questions. He stated the dollar amounts were removed as asked. He stated they needed to move forward with the Capital Improvement Plan and that they were forgetting about the infra structure. He reminded the Board they have Police, Fire, Public Works and other school projects that need work. He reiterated a lot has to do with the clean up at Eisenhower Park and that it need to be done.

Ald. Blake commented it was his understanding the Capital Improvement Plan does not have any affect and that this is a symbolic vote. He stated the issue that everyone is contentious over is Eisenhower Park and that he was hesitate to move in this direction without a plan. He stated he would like to see all the facts even though he is 99% in favor of Eisenhower Park.

Chairman Beirne had the Majority Leader re-read the motion.

Ald. Farley asked it would be in line to have anything regarding Eisenhower Park come before this Board with the hope this Board is united in the things they want done. He stated the figure that was attached to Eisenhower Park bothers everyone, including him.

Attorney Lipton stated she believed the original question was what would happen if your Board removed something. She explained it would have to go back to the various boards.

Ald. Genovese added she wanted documentation from every board concerning Eisenhower Park.

Ald. Lambert commented that would have to happen anyway. She stated the amendment is in good spirit but null.

Ald. Genovese stated what she wanted amended was that when it regards Eisenhower Park she wants documents in writing.

Attorney Lipton stated each month in the BOA's packets are minutes from every Board and Commission.

Ald. Genovese stated she was not referring to minutes, but documentation that all the appropriate departments have approved each part of Eisenhower Park.

Ald. Ditchkus stated they could draft a letter but that he did not believe they needed to amend the motion for the Capital Improvement Plan.

Ald. Veccharelli stated it is simple, nothing can happen unless this Board votes. He stated they have earmarked zero money and that they don't need assurances because this Board is the one that authorizes the money. He stated the bottom line is they move forward and that they don't need amendments for safeguards. He reiterated nothing could be done without this Board.

Ald. Genovese asked if Ald. Veccharelli was saying he did not want proof and if he wanted to know that each Board had reviewed the plan and approved it. She reiterated how strongly she wanted proof that every Board approves the Capital Improvement Plan.

Chairman Beirne noted each Board must give their recommendation or denial and explained the procedure in place.

Ald. Lambert stated they are being asked to vote on a Capital Improvement Plan that is pre-mature and that by sanctioning this (Eisenhower Park) under the Capital Improvement Plan they are influencing other departments. She reiterated it is pre-mature.

By roll call vote on the motion to table the motion fails 8 no (Beirne, Bier, Ditchkus, Farley, Garbus, Genovese, Politi, Toohey) and 7 yes (Blake, Hardiman, Lambert, Patterson, Rowland, Veccharelli, Vetro).

By roll call vote on the main motion, the motion carried 8 yes (Beirne, Bier, Ditchkus, Farley, Garbus, Genovese, Politi, Toohey) and 7 no (Blake, Hardiman, Lambert, Patterson, Rowland, Veccharelli, Vetro).

8c. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve the request to accept a \$2,000 donation from the Kiwanis Club of Milford, Inc., to be deposited into the Personal Services accounts in the budget of the Milford Public Library to be used to supplement Saturday hours during the summer months at the library.

Ald. Farley referred to an earlier speaker that the money would be better off spent on books, tapes, etc. and that he firmly believes that.

Ald. Lambert stated as Mr. Charland graciously told this Board that money could be better spent and commented that during budget deliberations the librarian stated they needed books, tapes, etc.

Ald. Farley gave a history of the library and spoke of a story regarding the copy machine. He stated he has seen it and been through it all.

Chairman Beirne pointed out Kiwanis specifically asked the money go towards Saturday hours.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he fought for years to get that library open on Saturdays and through perseverance it happened. He stated the former librarian told this Board that it wouldn't cost a dime and that they would reschedule hours. He stated the library should be open on Saturdays without cost and that he did not want to regress and go backwards. He stated they better not have to look for money down the road to keep the library open however he did not want to reject the money.

Ald. Politi stated she appreciated Mr. Veccharelli's comments adding that she serves on the Library Board and she would bring these comments back to the Library Board. She stated in the meantime she was grateful to the Kiwanis.

Motion carried unanimously.

9. New Business not on the Agenda which may be introduced by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting.

10. Budget Memo Transfers

- a. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Ditchkus moved to approve consideration of Budget Memo Transfer #18, Fund 10, FY 2006-2007. Motion carried unanimously.
- b. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve consideration of Budget Memo Transfer #19, Fund 10, FY 2006-2007.

Ms. Doneiko interjected pointing out that both of these transfers are budget year 2005-2006 and not FY 2006-2007.

Ald. Ditchkus and Ald. Toohey moved to amend both motions as pointed out by the Finance Director. Motion carried unanimously.

11. Refunds:

- a. Ald. Genovese and Ald. Vetro moved to approve Consideration of Refunds in the amount of \$17,327.26. Motion carried unanimously.

Ald. Farley and Ald. Patterson moved to waive the reports of the Standing Committees and Special Committees. Motion carried unanimously.

12. Report of Standing Committees:

- a. Ordinance Committee – no report.
- b. Public Safety and Welfare Committee – no report.
- c. Public Works Committee - no report.
- d. Claims Committee – no report.
- e. Rules Committee – no report.
- f. Personnel Committee – no report.

13. Report of Special Committees:

- a. Liaison Sub-Committee – Board of Education – no report.
- b. Liaison Sub-Committee – Flood & Erosion Board – no report.
- c. Liaison Sub-Committee – Park, Beach & Recreation Comm. – no report.
- d. Liaison Sub-Committee – Planning & Zoning Board – no report.
- e. Liaison Sub-Committee – no report.
- f. Liaison Sub-Committee – Harbor Management Commission – no report.
- g. Liaison – Council on Aging – no report.
- h. Permanent School Facility Building Committee – agenda re-ordered.
- i. Liaison Sub-Committee – Library Board – no report.
- j. Liaison Sub-Committee – Fowler Memorial building – no report.
- k. Liaison Sub-Committee – Housing Authority - no report.
- l. Golf Course Commission – no report.
- m. Inland Wetlands Agency – no report.
- n. Milford Academy Community Campus Bldg. Committee – no report.
- o. Courthouse Expansion Committee – no report.
- p. Liaison Health Department – no report.

14. Executive Session - A two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting is required for any item to be considered in Executive Session. A two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting is required to go into Executive Session.

Ald. Vetro thanked the Chairman and members of the Board for their kindness and support during the passing of his mother.

Ald. Farley and Ald. Patterson moved to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board adjourned at 10:24 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen K. Huber
Board Secretary