

**BOARD OF ALDERMEN
SPECIAL MEETING
JUNE 27, 2011**

A Special Meeting of the Board of Aldermen was held on Monday, June 27, 2011 in the aldermanic chambers of City Hall. Chairman G. Smith called the meeting to order at 7:34 p.m. and asked those present to join him in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance and remain standing for a moment of silence for our men and women serving in the armed forces.

1. Roll Call

Board Members Present

B. Bevan
B. Bier
B. Blake
D. German
A. Giannattasio
F. Goodrich
M. Hardiman
B. Joy, Jr.
J. Patterson
G. Smith
P. Smith
P. Staneski
N. Veccharelli
P. Vetro
R. Vitali

Also Present

Mayor James L. Richetelli, Jr.
P. Erodici, Acting Finance Director
Winthrop S. Smith, Jr., City Attorney

Chairman G. Smith stated he wished to remind the Board of some upcoming Independence Day festivities. He stated on July 4, the annual wreath laying ceremony, and also the Milford Volunteers in conjunction with the Girl Scouts will have a Let Freedom Ring ceremony at 1:00 p.m. He also noted the retirement of the Board of Aldermen Clerk, Rosalie Spinelli after 22 years of service to the Board and the City of Milford. He wished her well in her retirement.

2. Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Vetro made and seconded a motion to approve the request for the appointment of (R) Justin R. Margeson, 21 Eastern Parkway, 06460, from an alternate member to a regular member of the Inland Wetlands Agency to complete the unexpired term of Joel F. Levitz (resigned). Term to expire 12/31/12. Motion carried unanimously.

City Clerk Linda Stock swore-in Mr. Margeson as a member of the Inland Wetlands Agency.

3. Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Vetro made and seconded a motion to approve the request for Resolution Re: City of Milford Opposition to Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection Permit of Recycling, Inc. at 990 Naugatuck Avenue. (Requested by Alderman Bernard F. Joy, Second District).

Ald. Joy stated he comes before the Board with this Resolution and use of that property specifically. He explained there is a permit in place for use as a recycling facility and there is a request to expand the tonnage to this property. He stated residents are against his. Ald. Joy stated this is a residential area and the only approach to the site is through the residential area. He also pointed out there is only one fire hydrant in the area. He stated the residents have every right to be upset that DEP approved this and further that the residents have a right to be upset that DEP is dictating to Milford. Ald. Joy stated many of Milford's State representatives were present and he pleaded with them to close the loophole. He also asked for support from the Board for the Resolution being proposed. He continued by reading portions of the Resolution.

Ald. Blake recognized Milford's State delegation present, Senator Slossberg and State Representative Kim Rose, who he stated led the fight against a Naugatuck Avenue project and who also happens to reside on Naugatuck Avenue. Ald. Blake also commented he has never seen such an outpouring of passion from the residents regarding this issue. He stated it what resonates with him is that the community wants to be informed.

Ald. Blake and Ald. Patterson made and seconded a motion to amend the Resolution to add #5 which would state the City Administration shall publish on Milford's website and take any other steps necessary to ensure that the public is well-informed of the City's continued efforts concerning the property located at 990 Naugatuck Avenue.

Ald. Goodrich asked if Ald. Blake was suggesting all information or all relevant information.

Ald. Blake responded all applicable information so residents can recognize and understand what is going on.

Chairman G. Smith questioned if the City website was the place to post the information. He noted there are also sites such as Facebook and Twitter which can turn information around very quickly, but that he understands the point of getting information to the residents.

Mayor Richetelli stated they can make available any new information as soon as it becomes available. He also pointed out there is a grass-roots web-site set up by the residents that is very up-to-date. He stated they will be happy to make the information available to all residents.

Ald. Staneski recognized Senator Slossberg for comment.

Senator Slossberg thanked Ald. Staneski and the Board of Aldermen for their service. He also stated she appreciated the opportunity to speak tonight and thanked the residents who have contacted her. She stated she wished to make it clear she too opposes this and that this is clearly the wrong site and wrong for the quality of life for the residents in Milford. She explained the State delegation is limited in their fight against this application. She stated they have been working on this and have been in negotiations. She also stated she wished to clarify the decision to take their authority out was that of a Superior Court judge. Senator Slossberg went on to thank the Mayor for this continuing unwavering support in opposition to this and also Ald. Blake who state stated contacted her very early on to express his opposition. She commented it was likely there would be some type of litigation if the application is either denied or there is an adverse application. She stated it is a good day when everyone is in agreement that this is not in the best interests of the residents of Milford.

State Representative K. Rose – thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak. She stated as soon as she received a call from her neighbors regarding this issue, she called the DEP and then set up a meeting with the

Naugatuck Avenue neighborhood to disseminate information. She also thanked Ald. Joy for this Resolution. She went on to explain some of the background. She stated they would need to work as hard as they can to hope full stop this application and more importantly that it not happen again.

Amended motion carried unanimously.

Ald. Veccharelli commented it is a shame that big government has to step in and take away our liberties. He stated he did not feel the Judge's decision was the correct one and that he is against what is going on. He thanked the State delegation for their hard work and their support on this. Ald. Veccharelli stated his concern is that with this Resolution they do not jeopardize their case in helping our constituents fight this. He also stated it is important not to prejudice ourselves in any future litigation. He asked if the proposed Resolution would in any way prejudice the City in its efforts to fight this and reiterated he did not want to jeopardize or prejudice them in any way.

Mayor Richetelli replied the simply answer is no.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he would like to have a legal opinion and that he would like to have his question answered by the City Attorney.

Mayor Richetelli stated he wished to clarify and make the Board aware that the Intervener status has nothing to do with the previous case or the Judge's ruling. He stated if anything, this Resolution strengthens the City's position. He went on to explain the extensive history dating back to 2007 and how the city came to where they are now. Mayor Richetelli stated the position is that although State law allows the use of a recycling at that location there still are no requirements to be able to do so. He also explained he has spoken with and the City has retained outside counsel. He reiterated the Resolution strengthens the City going forward with its opposition to the expanded permit. He also thanked Senator Slossberg and State Representative Rose for all of their efforts. He stated the Intervener Status is the next step with the ultimate hope being that the DEP will deny this. He stated the Board's expected support of this Resolution will give a clear path.

Attorney Smith added the Board could rely on the Mayor's representation.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he was asking the City Attorney for advice that this will not prejudice the City and that they will not be compromised in its ability to go forward. He stated the best person to answer that question is the City Attorney.

Chairman G. Smith stated he was comfortable that nothing would change

Attorney Smith stated Ald. Joy's Resolution only strengthens the City's hand and does not prejudice the case.

Ald. Goodrich commented there is a 30-day window for public comment. He also stated they needed a petition signed by the residents and that they were able to submit 250 names that were required by the DEP.

Chairman G. Smith applauded the efforts of Joy with his coherent, clear and unequivocal message that Milford does not appreciate its local rules being overridden.

Ald. Veccharelli stated as this is a special meeting there is no public comment, but he would like to recognize anyone in the Second District who wishes to speak on this matter.

Chairman G. Smith explained the intent of the Board of Aldermen Rules would be to recognize someone by name.

The Board recessed at 8:10 p.m.

Chairman G. Smith reconvened the Board in public session at 8:14 p.m.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he wished to recognize two constituents, Anthony Paiva and Dr. Allen Brandt.

A. Paiva – 1107 Naugatuck Avenue – stated he has lived at this address for 21 years. He referenced Ordinance 14-49. He also stated there is a sign near Bic Drive that says “No Commercial Traffic” is allowed. He also stated he looked up the definition of what an urban street is, which is what Naugatuck Avenue is. He determined all qualify. He also stated there is a blind stop sign at Wiley Avenue and that it is near impossible to make a left turn safely without getting hit. He also spoke about the bus stops and children walking to these bus stops with these illegal trucks on the road. He asked the Board to take a closer look at this Ordinance.

Dr. A. Brandt – no address given – applauded the strongly written Resolution by Ald. Joy. He also commented it was nice to see how citizens are responding, especially during these difficult times.

Ald. Goodrich stated he was at the DEP meeting. He stated that department just doesn’t have the manpower to see if applicants follow up on various requirements. He pointed out this applicant has not applied for any permits.

Ald. P. Smith expressed her appreciation to everyone for coming out. She stated it really is a “no-brainer” for this Board, but it is unfortunate that common sense is sometimes thrown aside. She stated there are so many other things they could be working on.

Chairman G. Smith expressed his hope the folks in Hartford take into account the law of unintended consequences. He stated he hoped they would leave the authority to the local level. He stated he would support this Resolution.

Motion carried unanimously.

4. Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Vetro made and seconded a motion to approve the request for the 2011 Vehicle Acquisition Plan.

Ald. Patterson asked about fleet autos in the amount of \$50,000 and asked how many vehicles that amount would replace and also if it would be by auction.

Mayor Richetelli stated it would be 2-3 vehicles or possibly more. He went on to explain the history on recycling vehicles and the process of many of the city vehicles. He stated in most instances many of the vehicles have little trade-in value at the point when they are no longer needed. He also pointed out when the city is ready to dispose of any vehicle, it comes before the Board of Aldermen.

Ald. Blake stated he had a multi-part question. He stated back in 2008 this Board passed a green fleet with respect to fuel, energy, etc. He asked if there is a list of what portion of city vehicles are hybrids or alternate fuel vehicles.

Mayor Richetelli stated the city has a fleet that is standardized which saves in costs. He explained part of the Community Block Grant was to explore going greener.

Ald. Blake asked if any of the vehicles being purchased would be hybrids.

Mayor Richetelli stated there are no specific vehicles, makes or models. He stated without a capital outlay approved by this Board for funding a new facility, it would take time to move in that direction.

Ald. Joy commented it was important to think long term. He pointed to the vehicle acquisition plan for 2011 and stated he did not know of any snow plows or dump trucks that are hybrids. He stated while it is important to have fuel efficiency, it is equally important to make sure they meet the criteria for use.

Ald. Veccharelli commented \$715,000 is an awful lot of money when we are already squeezing pennies. He also stated he was confident the \$400,000 for the two plow truck was sorely needed and that the Public Works Director gets the most he can out of those vehicles. He reiterated the request for Public Works is badly needed.

Ald. Bevan asked about the SUV Command Vehicle.

Chief LaVecchia spoke of the replacement plan and stated of his command vehicles, these belong to the three top chiefs and the battalion chiefs. He stated the vehicles are made available during storms, whereas when they had Crown Victoria's, the department could not get through in flood storms.

Ald. Blake stated his concern is this Board passed a Resolution in 2008 to procure vehicles that are fuel efficient and it has not actually been implemented. He stated he recognized that this may not apply to public works or emergency responders, but fleet autos that are used day-to-day by administration. Ald. Blake stated the city should be looking at hybrid vehicles that get better gas mileage. He re-emphasized that was the action of the Board.

Chairman G. Smith commented it was not clear to him that the directive was not followed.

Mayor Richetelli stated the fleet vehicles are Dodge Straus and Avenger, which get more than 10 miles per gallon. He also pointed out the City of Milford is a leader in the State for energy initiatives. He stated the current diagnostics in the Public Works garage is based on the fleet the City currently has.

Ald. Goodrich pointed out Chief LaVecchia stated the SUV replaces the Crown Victoria and does get better gas mileage.

Ald. Veccharelli asked about public transportation busses, noting he sees advertising on the sides of the buses that states they have hybrid battery operated. He stated he understands what Ald. Blake and the Mayor are saying, that it has to be price affordable, but he stated he hopes to see this on the larger trucks in the future.

Ald. Vitali stated he has some concerns with the idea of going green. He stated a total restructuring of the garage would be need to handle fossil fuel. He stated there has been a lot of talk tonight about going green and purchasing hybrid vehicle, but he expressed concern about the cash that would be involved to build such a garage. He also pointed out the city goes out to bid for their fuel in an attempt to get the best dollar. He stated he would like to see an analysis done on the city garage.

Ald. German stated he agrees with going with cleaner energy. He pointed to the City of Bridgeport where they have implemented natural gas for their trucks.

Motion carried unanimously.

5. Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Patterson made and seconded a motion to approve the request for Allocation Transfer No. 9 (Vehicle Acquisition Plan) and (YMCA Soccer Field Repairs). Motion carried unanimously.

6. Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Patterson made and seconded a motion to approve the request to authorize the allocation of 75 cents per ton of Milford's municipal solid waste (MSW) as the city's commitment to funding the Southwest Regional Garbage Museum.

Mayor Richetelli stated the garbage museum is an education tool used by many school districts, including Milford. He stated there are a number of class trips that go each year. He explained the "garbage museum" is currently running a deficit. He stated today there are five communities that have made a commitment to the museum to help with the deficit. Mayor Richetelli stated Paul Nonnemacher, Director of Public Affairs of the Southwest Regional Garbage Museum was present to answer any questions the Board may have.

Mr. Nonnemacher explained they went to many towns with their proposal because of the dire circumstances. He explained what they do at the museum, which is basically to teach about recycling and its importance. He stated they also have grant applications out, but they have come to the towns that participate.

Chairman G. Smith asked if this one temporary and a one-time request.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied this is something they don't want to do every year and hope they won't have to.

Ald. German asked how many towns participate and the amount of their annual budget.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated their 2011-2012 budget is \$341,000. He stated the sum of the contributions they are looking for amounts to \$170,000. He stated they have received positive responses from Woodbridge and Westport and they continue to negotiate back and forth with several other towns.

Ald. Blake expressed concern about how many towns would actually end up contributing. He also pointed out Milford's contribution represents \$26,000, which seems to be the biggest piece. He stated this Board recently went through very difficult budget deliberations where firefighters and teachers lost their jobs. He asked how the contribution amount was arrived at and also what the minimum amount would be that Milford could contribute.

Mr. Nonnemacher responded he was not sure if there is a minimum or maximum amount someone could contribute. He stated they have an amount they need to come up with. He stated Milford has more than 10% of

the participants of the museum. He also pointed out the less the residents pay for garbage will be an increase in recycling.

Ald. Blake asked if there was any data as a result of the program and what children learn about recycling.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated there are over 60 towns across the State that have gone to single-stream recycling. He stated the only difference that has been shown in the success those towns are having with their recycling.

Ald. Vitali asked if the \$171,000 the museum is seeking would make the museum viable.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied yes.

Ald. Vitali asked about the grants and also anything from the State Department of Education.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated they are working with the State with an imitative ("Train the Trainer" workshops). He stated in addition to the grants they have applied for there is also the possibility of private sources. He stated they are in the process of building relationships in that area. He stated their education staff is always thinking of ways to raise money.

Ald. Vitali asked about CEU's, which he stated is a very important piece in getting the kids involved. He stated the trip to the museum is impactful to those kids who are fortunate to visit. He also spoke about sustainability, which is also vital.

Ald. Staneski commented it was her understanding the company was working with towns that do single-stream recycling and asked if they would be working with Milford for training.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated their education staff does a program. He stated they are ready, willing and eager to help Milford.

Ald. Staneski asked what the concerns were with the towns that they are going back and forth with.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated one of the main reasons is that no one wants to be the first in the pool and the second question always asked is who has already done it.

Ald. Staneski talked about the balance piece. She stated for her it is the \$.75. She stated she was not sure she could support it, but would possibly support a lower number if someone wanted to amend the motion. She stated she would also like to know this is in fact only one year.

Mr. Nonnemacher explained they are doing this because of the situation they are in this year. He stated they truly feel it will only be one year.

Chairman G. Smith asked if all towns who have signed up thus far were on board for the \$.75.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied yes.

Ald. Bevan stated he is against this because it is not fair to the Milford taxpayers. He stated this Board has dug deep and still had to lay off people.

Ald. Veccharelli asked who else has donated.

Mr. Nonnemacher responded Westport and Woodbridge. He added they were having positive conversations with Fairfield, Bridgeport and Stratford. He stated on a positive note they have not had any doors slammed in their face.

Chairman G. Smith questioned what would happen if Milford agrees to this and other towns do not. He asked if Milford would then be subsidizing the other towns.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated if they do not collect enough from all of the towns they won't collect from anyone. He stated there are a lot of "ifs". He stated they felt \$.75, given the proportion to their budget and what they expect to save from the single stream recycling will actually be more than the \$.75.

Ald. German asked how many full time employees they have.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied they have one full time educator and two part time educators as well as an educator supervisor who divides her time with the trash museum in Hartford.

Ald. Giannattasio asked if the museum would end up closing if they don't get the funding.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied yes.

Ald. P. Smith stated she was concerned with spending the money, but agreed it is a great program.

Ald. Blake noted that Stratford still has not bought-in. He cited may similar facilities in Milford that are not support and in fact had their budgets cut. He agreed the value is there, but pointed out the facility is located in Stratford and the Town of Stratford has not bought-in.

Ald. Blake and Ald. Hardiman made and seconded a motion to amend the motion to read from \$.75 per ton to \$37.5 per ton.

Mayor Richetelli asked if any town has said no.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied the Town of Easton. He stated in the Town of Orange the Board of Selectmen said yes, but their Board of Finance said no.

Mayor Richetelli asked what would happen if all the towns were to reduce their contribution to \$.37.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated it would put them in dire straits.

Chairman G. Smith asked how many Milford students go through the museum each year.

Mr. Nonnemacher replied it is around 2,000. He stated Milford is second or third in participation.

Chairman G. Smith stated tonight this Board will have to decide whether this is something Milford wishes to support.

The Board recessed at 9:16 p.m.

Chairman G. Smith reconvened the Board at 9:27 p.m.

Ald. Blake stated he wished to withdraw is amendment and made a new amendment.

Ald. Hardiman stated he would withdraw is second.

Ald. Blake and Ald. Veccharelli made and seconded a motion to amend to keep the \$.75 per ton figure in place up to a total amount of \$15,000.

Ald. Blake stated with this amendment they would still be able to sell the \$.75 to other towns. Additionally, he stated Milford is developing a new recycling program and he stated he believed trash may be down. He stated this amendment is still a win/win for both parties.

By roll call vote the amendment fails 9 no (Bevan, Bier, German, Giannattastio, Goodrich, Joy, G. Smith, P. Smith, Vitali) and 6 yes (Blake, Hardiman, Patterson, Staneski, Veccharelli, Vetro).

Ald. Bier commented on the importance of the educational piece for the single stream recycling program. He stated with a good education program it will come be to the City and with the \$.75 and max out at \$26,562.

Ald. Staneski stated she would support a cap. She stated she understood in order for the proposal to work they would need the \$.75 from each town. Ald. Staneski stated she would like some assurances that Milford would not be the only town paying \$.75. She stated it is up to CRRA to sell and get the \$.75. She stated she wants to make sure Milford pays no more and no less.

Ald. Staneski and Ald. Goodrich made and seconded a motion to amend the per ton figure to a \$26,000 cap, with no more than the average of other towns.

Ald. Vitali stated the education program provided is very good. He stated this subject has now been discussed for nearly an hour. He stated the bottom line is this Board needs to decide they will or will not do it. He stated CRRA has said what they need to make this work. He stated he would be in favor of the \$26,562 cap, but would be against any other amendments.

Ald. Blake stated in terms of implementing this, how do they address a town like Easton who has already opted out.

Ald. Bier stated he would vote against the amendment.

By roll call vote, the amendment (Staneski) failed 9 no (Bevan, Bier, German, Giannattasio, Joy, Patterson, P. Smith, Veccharelli, Vitali) and 6 yes (Blake, Goodrich, Hardiman, G. Smith, Staneski, Vetro).

Ald. Patterson questioned the need to have to cap what really is simple math.

Chairman G. Smith commented it would seem they could come back and say more was processed.

Ald. Patterson stated what is before his Board is based on a specific tonnage. He also pointed out this is a one-time fee.

Ald. Bier concurred with the points made by Ald. Patterson. He stated he would renew a motion to cap at \$26,562.

Ald. Bier and Ald. Joy made and seconded a motion to amend the motion to cap the total amount from Milford at \$26,562.

By roll call vote, the amendment carried 10 yes (Bier, German, Giannattasio, Goodrich, Joy, Patterson, G. Smith, P. Smith, Staneski, Vitali) and 5 no (Bevan, Blake, Hardiman, Veccharelli, Vetro).

Ald. Blake stated he wished to offer an amendment between the \$15,000 and \$26,000 caps offered.

Ald. Blake and Ald. Veccharelli made and seconded a motion to amend the cap at \$20,000.

Ald. Blake stated they looked at the bottom line of every city agency and made cuts. He spoke about equality with the boards and commissions in the City of Milford.

Mayor Richetelli cautioned the Board to be careful how they characterize. He stated Milford is part of a regionalization with how garbage is removed. He also pointed out the Board should not take a stance because this museum is located in Stratford. He stated Milford has been part of this regional compact for better than 25 years.

Ald. Staneski recalled the Mayor has been chastised in the past for not having more regionalized programs.

Ald. Bier stated even if it were located in Milford he would likely be against it.

Ald. Veccharelli stated he wants the garbage museum to have funds to be viable, but sees the points brought up by Ald. Blake concerning cuts this Board had to make as well as the sacrifices. He suggested CRRA think outside the box and lower costs of doing business. He also stated he understands it is regional, but that it just doesn't give carte blanche. He reiterated they have to sacrifice too and stated he would like to think they have to compromise as well. He stated he would like to think they can operate with less than \$26,000.

Chairman G. Smith asked what would happen if they get \$20,000 when they asked for \$26,000.

Mr. Nonnemacher stated he could not speak for the other towns. He stated if other towns decide to give less as well it will not get them where they want.

Ald. Vitali stated it's an anomaly. He stated basically the Board needed to decide whether they will do it or not. He stated the questions have been answered over and over with the result being the same. If they don't get the money they can't continue.

Ald. Vitali and Ald. Goodrich made and seconded a motion to move the question. Motion carried unanimously.

By roll call vote, the amendment (Blake) fails, 10 no (Bevan, Bier, German, Giannattasio, Goodrich, Joy, G. Smith, P. Smith, Staneski, Vitali) and 5 yes (Blake, Hardiman, Patterson, Veccharelli, Vetro).

On the main motion, by roll call vote, the motion carried 12 yes (Bier, German, Giannattasio, Goodrich, Hardiman, Joy, Patterson, G. Smith, P. Smith, Staneski, Veccharelli, Vitali) and 3 no (Bevan, Blake, Vetro).

7. Budget Memo Transfers:

(a) Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Goodrich made and seconded a motion to approve Budget Memo Transfer No. 12, Fund 10, FY10-11.

(b) Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Goodrich made and seconded a motion to approve Budget Memo Transfer No. 13, FY10-11. Motion carried unanimously.

8a. Ald. Giannattasio and Ald. P. Smith made and seconded a motion to approve An Ordinance Amending Chapter 17.1, Article III, Section 17.1-38 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Milford (Buy-In Provisions).

Ald. Blake asked how many would be affected.

Mayor Richetelli stated the intent was that no one loses their job. He stated they have achieved the goal that they set out regarding staff reduction without any employee losing their job. He went on to explain the procedure.

Ald. Blake asked the financial cost on the city side.

Mayor Richetelli stated the best calculations for every year of service would be around 2.25% in their pension. He stated he did not have a dollar amount.

Ald. Goodrich stated originally he was dead set against this, but after reading through he recognized this was originally done in December 1993 and that this basically is a date change.

Mayor Richetelli pointed out these particular buy-ins are not the rule, but that it is not uncommon to buy in.

Ald. Patterson asked if the position this was designed for is a non-rep position.

Mayor Richetelli explained the position is actually in a union. He explained due to a retirement the person who would have lost his job can remain due to an opening.

Motion carried unanimously.

8b. Ald. Giannattasio and Ald. P. Smith made and seconded a motion to approve An Ordinance Repealing An Ordinance Establishing Conditions Of Employment And Benefits For City Officials And Employees In The

Service Of The City Of Milford And Employees In The Service Of The City of Milford. Motion carried unanimously.

9. Executive Session

Consideration of Personnel Matter regarding the retirement of Joseph Milici.

Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Goodrich made and seconded a motion to go into Executive Session to consider a Personnel Matter regarding the retirement of Joseph Milici. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman G. Smith stated the full Board along with Mayor Richetelli, Winthrop S. Smith, Jr., City Attorney and John O'Connell, Personnel would be in the Executive Session.

The Board adjourned to Executive Session at 10:03 p.m.

Ald. Goodrich and Ald. Veccharelli made and seconded a motion to come out of Executive Session. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman G. Smith reconvened the Board in public session at 10:15 p.m.

Ald. P. Smith and Ald. Patterson made and seconded a motion to authorize the Pension and Retirement Board to approve the retirement of Joseph Milici in accordance with the terms as discussed in Executive Session. Motion carried unanimously.

Ald. Vitali and Ald. P. Smith made and seconded a motion to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board adjourned at 10:16 p.m. p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen K. Huber
Recording Secretary